

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Applicati n Number	09/909,014	
	Filing Date	7-20-2001	
	First Named Inventor	EMANUEL S. KEMENY	
	Group Art Unit	1761	
	Examiner Name	S. WEINSTEIN	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	19	Attorney Docket Number	-

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (for an Application) <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): <div style="text-align: right;">RECEIVED JUL 10 2003 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700</div>
Remarks <div style="text-align: center;">Please Expedite Petition Approved on Age</div>		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name	EMANUEL S. KEMENY
Signature	Emanuel S. Kemeny
Date	7-10-2003

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date: <input type="text"/>		
Typed or printed name		
Signature		Date

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

20/F
20
7/30/3

TO:USPTO
Commissioner for Patents
Group Director/Art Unit 1761
Examiner S. Weinstein

FROM: Applicant
Emanuel S. Kemeny
Serial No. 09/909,014
Meal Equivalent Food Bar
Filed 7/20/2001

Date: 7-10-2003

Please Expedite -- Petition Approved on A/g Thank You
RESPONSE TO EXAMINER ACTION PAPER NO.19,
ON NEW MATTER, DISCLOSURE, AND OBVIOUS
--And PERSONAL INTERVIEW 7-3-03

This is a full response to the Examiner Action
Paper No. 19, and

--The Personal Interview 7-3-03.

Responsively:

- (1) The Interview Report
--Summarizes the Interview
- (2) Correction of New Matter
--A Substitute Specification Identical to Original
- (3) Correction of Claims for Sufficient Disclosure
--Amended Claims now Original Matter Fully Defined
- (4) Correction of Claims for Non-Obviousness.
--Claims now Fully Distinct with added limitations

This Response has Four Parts (per Revised 37CFR 1.121):

- PART I. Introduction and Required Summaries
- PART II. Current Claims
- PART III. Current Specification
- PART IV. Remarks and Conclusions

PART I. INTRODUCTION AND REQUIRED SUMMARIES

1.0 Introduction

This Response is a full response to the Examiner Action
Paper No. 19,

-- and the Personal Interview July 3, 2003.

1.1 Summary of Examiner Interview

(1) APPLICANT appreciates the helpful comments of the
Examiner during the interview.

(2) APPLICANT pointed out:

(a) A Proposed Response:

The Specification and Claims will contain only
Original Matter. Further, in the "Oat-Soy EXAMPLE":

The Claimed: "MEAL-EQUIVALENT...MEAL REPLACEMENT...
CONVENTIONAL MEAL...APPETIZER, MAIN-COURSE, DESSERT...
CONTRASTING SEGMENTS...SIZE AND TYPE OF FOOD...
BALANCED NUTRITION..."
are specifically and inherently defined in the "EXAMPLE".

CLAIM 1 WILL NOW BE SO CLAIMED.

CLAIM 1 WILL BE THE ONLY INDEPENDENT CLAIM -- TO
EXPEDITE POSSIBLE ALLOWANCE.

(b) The terms "Meal Equivalent" and "Conventional
Meal...Courses Appetizer, Main-Course, and Dessert"...

are ALSO specifically defined in standard reference
textbooks: Webster Dictionary, and
Fannie Farmer Cookbook (pages 4-6).

(c) Prior Art cited teaches Snack Bars segmented flavors
--- not approximating Conventional Meal Courses and
not Balanced Nutrition and
not Contrasting Course Size and Food Types

(3) THE EXAMINER SUGGESTED --- Applicant should further
clarify the segments and their relation to each other. --
APPLICANT agreed to do this

1.1 Brief Summary of Corrections

Responsive to the Examiner Requirements:

(1) New Matter is corrected by entry of a Substitute Specification and Claims under 37CFR1.125, identical to the Original Submission...Added Prior Art is cited of record.

Further, the Current Claims are Amended with only Original Matter from the Original Specification, and so entered per Revised 37CFR1.121.

(2) The Claims, Rejected under 35USC103 as Obvious, are Corrected by added limitations -- defined and specified in the Original Disclosure -- and clearly not taught or suggested by the Prior Art.

(3) The Claims, Rejected under 35USC112 First Paragraph as based on Insufficient Disclosure with undefined terms, are Corrected by using terms specifically defined in the Original Disclosure under "Summary of Invention" and the "Oat-Soy Example" -- and pointed in the next section.

1.2 Details of Claim Corrections:

(1) Claim 1 is now the only Independent Claim.

(2) Responsively, Claim 1 is now amended with terms taken directly from the Original Disclosure, specifically:

From the "Summary of Invention", and "Oat-Soy EXAMPLE" these terms are now claimed (Underlines are my stress):

"MEAL EQUIVALENT FOOD BAR...Oat-Soy EXAMPLE..."

"This example is designed to approximate a meal-equivalent food bar ...500 calories...in a 55-15-30(%Cal) distribution of Carbohydrates, Proteins, and Fats, (Balanced Nutrition)...the Oat-Soy Mix is divided into (three) portions...quarter for Appetizer, half for Main-Course, quarter for Dessert... to form the Bar-Common ingredients, to which the segment-appropriate ingredients are added:

"Vinegar added to Appetizer, Vegetable added to the Main-Course, Apple added to the Dessert (segments of Contrasting Food Types: texture, color, flavors)..."